



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/686,124	10/10/2000	Peter Si-Sheng Wang	15886-477	4296
20306 7	590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			THOMPSON, MARC D	
300 S. WACKI 32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL			2144	1.1
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SC

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4				
	09/686,124	WANG ET AL.	46				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
T. MAU INO DATE AND	Marc D. Thompson	2144	***************************************				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet with	tne correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 I	March 2004 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>76-90</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) 15/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 76-90 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Application Papers	oloodon roquiromoni.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 09/686,124 Page 2

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been reassigned to a new Examiner. See Conclusion section below, for new Examiner contact information.

- 2. Amendment B, Paper #9, received 3/26/2004, has been entered into record.
- 3. Claims 76-90 are now pending.

Priority

- 4. This application is a continuation of application number 09/181,431, now U.S. Patent Number 6,161,134.
- 5. The effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in this application is 10/30/1998.

Drawings

- 6. New formal drawings are required in this application because of the deficiencies noted on the enclosed PTO-948 form. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.
- 7. The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on 10/10/2000 are acceptable for examination proceedings.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/686,124

Art Unit: 2144

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 9. Claims 76-90 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-75 of Wang et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,161,134), hereinafter referred to as Wang.
- 10. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences between the claimed invention and the patented claims involve merely potential invocation of 35 USC §112, sixth paragraph, "means plus function" language.
- 11. Further, presented claims 76-90 are anticipated by the patent invention(s) of Wang.
- 12. Lastly, Applicant admits the claim correlation(s). See, Response, Paper #9, Page 7, Lines 5-7, where stated, "Applicant's new claims essentially track those claims that have already issued in Applicants' related case, United States Patent No. 6,161,134 issued to Wang et al., on December 12, 2000". This is clear and convincing evidence that the patented claims and the presented claims do not differ in scope beyond a trivial amount.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Since the claimed invention directly parallels the claims set forth in patented parent application 09/181,431, now U.S. Patent Number 6,161,134, optionally imparting the disclosed structure as forth in the specification in light of interpretation of 35 USC §112, sixth paragraph, the claims are allowable over the prior art of record for the same reasoning set forth in the parent

Application/Control Number: 09/686,124 Page 4

Art Unit: 2144

application. The coupling of a portable computing device with a telephony device effecting exchange of telephone operating parameter information and operating capabilities of the telephone device with the portable computing device resulting in capability determinations and configuration(s) of the telephony device by the portable computing device was not reasonably taught or suggested by the prior art of record. All art identified as directly relevant by the Examiner during the examination process was not available to the public prior to the filing date of the present application.

Response to Arguments

- 14. The arguments presented by Applicant in the response, Paper #9, received on 3/26/2004, are not considered persuasive.
- 15. Applicant's arguments may fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Conclusion

- 16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 17. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/686,124

Art Unit: 2144

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc D. Thompson whose telephone number is 703-308-6750. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-4pm.
- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski, Jr. can be reached on 703-308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARC D. THOMPSON
MARC THOMPSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marc D. Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2144